



SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1



Audit Content:

(1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.

(2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)

(3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.

(4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to record actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).

Audit Details				
Sedex Company Reference: <i>(only available on Sedex System)</i>	ZC5000036150	Sedex Site Reference: <i>(only available on Sedex System)</i>	ZS1000042306	
Business name (Company name):	Suzhou Huihai Sanitary Products Co.Ltd			
Site name:	Suzhou Huihai Sanitary Products Co Ltd			
Site address:	No.8, Yueyang Road, Yuewang , Shaxi Town, Taicang City, Jiangsu Prov. China 江苏省太仓市沙溪镇岳王 岳杨路8号 Taicang 215421 CN	Country:	CN	
Site contact and job title:	Shen Feng / Factory Director			
Site phone:	18936156311	Site e-mail:	huihai@6688future.com	
SMETA Audit Pillars:	☒ Labour Standards	☒ Health and Safety (plus Environment 2-Pillar)	☒ Environment 4-pillar	☒ Business Ethics
Date of Audit:	2024-07-19			

Audit Company Name:
GCL International

Audit Conducted By					
Affiliate Audit Company	☒	Purchaser	☐	Retailer	☐
Brand owner	☐	NGO	☐	Trade Union	☐
Multi-stakeholder	☐	Combined Audit (select all that apply)			

Audit Parameters					
Time in and time out	Day 1		Day 2		
	In	08:00	In	08:00	
	Out	17:00	Out	12:00	
Audit type:	FULL_INITIAL				
Was the audit announced?	ANNOUNCED				
Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes				
Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	No				
Who signed and agreed CAPR	Shen Feng / Factory Director				
Is further information available	No				

Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives	
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives
A: Present at the opening meeting?	Yes	Yes	No
B: Present at the audit?	Yes	Yes	No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	Yes	Yes	No
<i>Reason for absence at the opening meeting</i>	As there is no trade union in the facility and it is not a mandatory requirement.		
<i>Reason for absence during the audit</i>	As there is no trade union in the facility and it is not a mandatory requirement.		
<i>Reason for absence at the closing meeting</i>	As there is no trade union in the facility and it is not a mandatory requirement.		

Summary of Findings

Issue <i>(please click on the issue title to go direct to the appropriate audit results by clause)</i>	Area of Non-Conformity		Number of issues			Findings
	ETI	Local Law	NC	Obs	GE	
<u>3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic</u>	3.1 3.1	§1 §2	2	0	0	NC - ZAF600573348 NC - ZAF600573349

Local Law Issues

Issue	Description
§1	In accordance with the Regulations on the Safe Use of Chemicals in Workplace, Article 12 The unit, which uses chemical, shall set up identification label for all chemicals in using. For chemical, a safety label shall be applied and MSDS be provided for worker.
§2	In accordance with Regulations on the Safety Management of Dangerous Chemicals article 20, The units producing, storing dangerous chemicals shall, according to the categories and hazardous characteristics of the dangerous chemicals they producing, storing, set up the corresponding safety facilities and equipments for monitoring, aeration, protection against exposure to sun, temperature adjusting, fireproof, fire fighting, flameproof, pressure discharging, prevention of toxicants, neutralization, moistureproof, protection against thunder, protection against static, antiseptis, prevention of leakage, protection dams or segregated operations, etc.. In addition, the units shall carry out maintenance and caring regularly according to the national standards, industrial standards or the relevant provisions of the State, thus to guarantee the safety operations of facilities and equipments.

Corrective Action Plan - Non Compliances

Non-Compliance		Evidence																															
[Back to findings summary]																																	
<table><tr><th colspan="2">Non-Compliance</th></tr><tr><td>Status</td><td>OPEN</td></tr><tr><td>Reference</td><td>ZAF600573348</td></tr><tr><td>Clause</td><td>3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic</td></tr><tr><td>Issue Title</td><td>230 - No material safety data sheet (MSDS) obtained / available</td></tr><tr><td>Subcategory</td><td>Chemicals</td></tr><tr><td>New or carried over?</td><td>☒ New ☐ Carried Over</td></tr><tr><td>Root cause</td><td>☒ Training ☐ System ☐ Costs ☐ Lack of workers ☐ Other</td></tr><tr><td>Root cause - Other</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Local law issue</td><td>In accordance with the Regulations on the Safe Use of Chemicals in Workplace, Article 12 The unit, which uses chemical, shall set up identification label for all chemicals in using. For chemical, a safety label shall be applied and MSDS be provided for worker.</td></tr><tr><td>ETI code</td><td>3.1 - A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.</td></tr><tr><td>Explanation to the non compliance</td><td>Verified via facility tour and management interview, auditor found that the facility used Paraffin oil in the auto machine in production workshop at Building 2. However, no MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) was provided in the chemical storage area.</td></tr><tr><td>Follow up method</td><td>☐ Follow up audit ☒ Desktop audit</td></tr><tr><td>Timescale</td><td>☐ Immediate ☐ 30 days ☒ 60 days ☐ 90 days ☐ 120 days ☐ 180 days ☐ 365 days ☐ Other</td></tr><tr><td>Actions</td><td>It is recommended that the facility should ensure MSDS is available for hazardous chemical in the workplace.</td></tr></table>			Non-Compliance		Status	OPEN	Reference	ZAF600573348	Clause	3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic	Issue Title	230 - No material safety data sheet (MSDS) obtained / available	Subcategory	Chemicals	New or carried over?	☒ New ☐ Carried Over	Root cause	☒ Training ☐ System ☐ Costs ☐ Lack of workers ☐ Other	Root cause - Other		Local law issue	In accordance with the Regulations on the Safe Use of Chemicals in Workplace, Article 12 The unit, which uses chemical, shall set up identification label for all chemicals in using. For chemical, a safety label shall be applied and MSDS be provided for worker.	ETI code	3.1 - A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.	Explanation to the non compliance	Verified via facility tour and management interview, auditor found that the facility used Paraffin oil in the auto machine in production workshop at Building 2. However, no MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) was provided in the chemical storage area.	Follow up method	☐ Follow up audit ☒ Desktop audit	Timescale	☐ Immediate ☐ 30 days ☒ 60 days ☐ 90 days ☐ 120 days ☐ 180 days ☐ 365 days ☐ Other	Actions	It is recommended that the facility should ensure MSDS is available for hazardous chemical in the workplace.	 NC-No SDS posted.jpg
Non-Compliance																																	
Status	OPEN																																
Reference	ZAF600573348																																
Clause	3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic																																
Issue Title	230 - No material safety data sheet (MSDS) obtained / available																																
Subcategory	Chemicals																																
New or carried over?	☒ New ☐ Carried Over																																
Root cause	☒ Training ☐ System ☐ Costs ☐ Lack of workers ☐ Other																																
Root cause - Other																																	
Local law issue	In accordance with the Regulations on the Safe Use of Chemicals in Workplace, Article 12 The unit, which uses chemical, shall set up identification label for all chemicals in using. For chemical, a safety label shall be applied and MSDS be provided for worker.																																
ETI code	3.1 - A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.																																
Explanation to the non compliance	Verified via facility tour and management interview, auditor found that the facility used Paraffin oil in the auto machine in production workshop at Building 2. However, no MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) was provided in the chemical storage area.																																
Follow up method	☐ Follow up audit ☒ Desktop audit																																
Timescale	☐ Immediate ☐ 30 days ☒ 60 days ☐ 90 days ☐ 120 days ☐ 180 days ☐ 365 days ☐ Other																																
Actions	It is recommended that the facility should ensure MSDS is available for hazardous chemical in the workplace.																																

Non-Compliance		Evidence																											
[Back to findings summary]																													
<table><tr><th colspan="2">Non-Compliance</th></tr><tr><td>Status</td><td>OPEN</td></tr><tr><td>Reference</td><td>ZAF600573349</td></tr><tr><td>Clause</td><td>3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic</td></tr><tr><td>Issue Title</td><td>240 - No / inadequate safety measures / anti-explosion measures for chemicals (e.g. no anti-leaking system / secondary container / unbunded)</td></tr><tr><td>Subcategory</td><td>Chemicals</td></tr><tr><td>New or carried over?</td><td>☒ New ☐ Carried Over</td></tr><tr><td>Root cause</td><td>☒ Training ☐ System ☐ Costs ☐ Lack of workers ☐ Other</td></tr><tr><td>Root cause - Other</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Local law issue</td><td>In accordance with Regulations on the Safety Management of Dangerous Chemicals article 20, The units producing, storing dangerous chemicals shall, according to the categories and hazardous characteristics of the dangerous chemicals they producing, storing, set up the corresponding safety facilities and equipments for monitoring, aeration, protection against exposure to sun, temperature adjusting, fireproof, fire fighting, flameproof, pressure discharging, prevention of toxicants, neutralization, moistureproof, protection against thunder, protection against static, antiseptis, prevention of leakage, protection dams or segregated operations, etc.. In addition, the units shall carry out maintenance and caring regularly according to the national standards, industrial standards or the relevant provisions of the State, thus to guarantee the safety operations of facilities and equipments.</td></tr><tr><td>ETI code</td><td>3.1 - A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.</td></tr><tr><td>Explanation to the non compliance</td><td>Verified via facility tour and management interview, auditor found that chemical such as Paraffin oil (For pasting on the surface of sweeping cloths) were stored in warehouse, but there was no secondary containment to prevent the possible leakage.</td></tr><tr><td>Follow up</td><td>☐ Follow up audit ☒ Desktop audit</td></tr></table>			Non-Compliance		Status	OPEN	Reference	ZAF600573349	Clause	3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic	Issue Title	240 - No / inadequate safety measures / anti-explosion measures for chemicals (e.g. no anti-leaking system / secondary container / unbunded)	Subcategory	Chemicals	New or carried over?	☒ New ☐ Carried Over	Root cause	☒ Training ☐ System ☐ Costs ☐ Lack of workers ☐ Other	Root cause - Other		Local law issue	In accordance with Regulations on the Safety Management of Dangerous Chemicals article 20, The units producing, storing dangerous chemicals shall, according to the categories and hazardous characteristics of the dangerous chemicals they producing, storing, set up the corresponding safety facilities and equipments for monitoring, aeration, protection against exposure to sun, temperature adjusting, fireproof, fire fighting, flameproof, pressure discharging, prevention of toxicants, neutralization, moistureproof, protection against thunder, protection against static, antiseptis, prevention of leakage, protection dams or segregated operations, etc.. In addition, the units shall carry out maintenance and caring regularly according to the national standards, industrial standards or the relevant provisions of the State, thus to guarantee the safety operations of facilities and equipments.	ETI code	3.1 - A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.	Explanation to the non compliance	Verified via facility tour and management interview, auditor found that chemical such as Paraffin oil (For pasting on the surface of sweeping cloths) were stored in warehouse, but there was no secondary containment to prevent the possible leakage.	Follow up	☐ Follow up audit ☒ Desktop audit	 <u>NC-No secondary containment.jpg</u>
Non-Compliance																													
Status	OPEN																												
Reference	ZAF600573349																												
Clause	3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic																												
Issue Title	240 - No / inadequate safety measures / anti-explosion measures for chemicals (e.g. no anti-leaking system / secondary container / unbunded)																												
Subcategory	Chemicals																												
New or carried over?	☒ New ☐ Carried Over																												
Root cause	☒ Training ☐ System ☐ Costs ☐ Lack of workers ☐ Other																												
Root cause - Other																													
Local law issue	In accordance with Regulations on the Safety Management of Dangerous Chemicals article 20, The units producing, storing dangerous chemicals shall, according to the categories and hazardous characteristics of the dangerous chemicals they producing, storing, set up the corresponding safety facilities and equipments for monitoring, aeration, protection against exposure to sun, temperature adjusting, fireproof, fire fighting, flameproof, pressure discharging, prevention of toxicants, neutralization, moistureproof, protection against thunder, protection against static, antiseptis, prevention of leakage, protection dams or segregated operations, etc.. In addition, the units shall carry out maintenance and caring regularly according to the national standards, industrial standards or the relevant provisions of the State, thus to guarantee the safety operations of facilities and equipments.																												
ETI code	3.1 - A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.																												
Explanation to the non compliance	Verified via facility tour and management interview, auditor found that chemical such as Paraffin oil (For pasting on the surface of sweeping cloths) were stored in warehouse, but there was no secondary containment to prevent the possible leakage.																												
Follow up	☐ Follow up audit ☒ Desktop audit																												

method		
Timescale	☐ Immediate ☒ 30 days ☐ 60 days ☐ 90 days ☐ 120 days ☐ 180 days ☐ 365 days ☐ Other	
Actions	It is recommended that the facility should set up the corresponding safety facilities and equipments in workshop or warehouse for hazardous chemicals as per legal requirement.	

SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Auditor Team			
Lead Auditor:	Andrew Lin	APSCA Number:	21700807
Additional Auditors:			
Date of declaration:	2024-07-20		

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

Site Representation	
Full Name:	Shen Feng
Title:	Factory Director
Date of declaration:	2024-07-20
Comments: <i>Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): Sampled wage records from the past 5 months were provided for review (5 months only since the operation for digital thermometer just started last Sep 2020). The audit took 2.0 man-days (9AM-6PM per day). Audit time was extended until 8PM due to the extent of documentation; this was agreed upon with the factory representatives</i>	
Management agreed with the CAPR	

Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue re-occurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a “root cause”

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re- occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.



For more information visit: [Sedexglobal.com](https://www.sedexglobal.com)

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

[Click here for Buyer \(A\) & Buyer/Supplier \(A/B\) members:](http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d)

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

[Click here for Supplier \(B\) members:](http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d)

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

[Click here for Auditors:](https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP)

<https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP>